

BRIEFING NOTE

To: AMO Membership **Date:** October 12, 2017

Subject: AMO's Response to the Expert Panel on Public Health

ISSUE:

AMO does not support the recommendations of the Expert Panel on Public Health as outlined in the report, <u>Public Health within an Integrated Health System</u>, released on July 20, 2017. In the AMO President's correspondence, AMO demands that the government not change the public health system as recommended. The President's letter dated October 12, 2017 is included in this note in Appendix A.

SUMMARY OF AMO'S RESPONSE:

AMO does not support the recommendations of the Expert Panel on Public Health. We urge the Minister of Health and Long-Term Care and the provincial government not to adopt the recommendations given there is no clear evidence to justify such changes to the public health system. Integrating public health within the health care system would completely change and dilute over time the mandate of the local public health system.

ANALYSIS:

If the Expert Panel recommendations are implemented it will completely change the public health system and place it within the health care system. Neither the Expert Panel nor the Ministry have provided analysis on the implications of integrating from either a patient, program/service, or cost benefit analysis perspective. There is no solid empirical foundation provided to support the proposed change.

Many within the municipal sector are very opposed to integration of public health within the broader health care system for many reasons:

- Public Health will lose its local focus even if there are local public health service delivery areas.
- The Public Health Units in Regional and Single-Tier municipal governments are fully integrated into the municipal system regarding governance, as employees and linked to other parts of municipal services (i.e. planning, transit, housing, social services).
- There is a risk that integration will dilute the Public Health mandate and shift away from local
 population-based services toward clinical services to support the primary care system given
 those under resourced needs.

Creating coverage in larger geographic areas may help create critical mass, however, integration will be challenging in northern, rural and remote areas given smaller, spread out populations.

The recommendations concerning governance will weaken the local elected official voice by seeking to increase community members (LHINs, school boards) appointed to Boards of Health. The local elected official voice is important to reflect overall community need. The new model will only serve

to dilute municipal government involvement in Public Health. Being an elected official is a core competency. Elected officials bring a lens of value for money and the needs of the broader community.

It is suggested that the further that Public Health gets from the municipal core, the more the Province should be responsible for funding. Municipal governments may be less inclined to top up funding or contribute other in-kind municipal resources especially in the case of single-tier and regional governments where full integration of Public Health into the municipal system is the case. It may also be challenging to maintain close connections between local councils and Boards the larger and more regional they become. Municipal governments should have a strong role. It cannot be assumed that this will continue in a new model. This is a significant risk.

AMO's Health Task Force and the AMO Board carefully considered the matter of the Expert Panel's recommendations. AMO is opposed to the new proposed model for the reasons listed above. It is simply not clear that the benefits are worth the significant proposed disruption to the system. As well, it is also not clear the exact problem that the government is trying to address and, more broadly, what is the vision for the health care system. Until this is known and agreed to, as funding partners, it is challenging to respond to the need for change in Public Health.

In making its decision, the Board was guided by the following principles:

- 1. **Preserve the mandate of Public Health** To make sure Public Health and its staff is not overwhelmed by the needs of health care services. Maintaining the distinctive role of Public Health to provide preventative and population-based health services that meet local needs, as a complimentary and equal partner to primary care's provision of clinical treatment services.
- 2. **Maintain the full range of current functions of Public Health** To fulfill the mandate and desired public health outcomes ranging from disease prevention and health promotion to research and knowledge transfer. These are essential components to a well-functioning public health system.
- 3. **Enhance the capacity of Public Health** To achieve better prevention and population health outcomes for local communities.
- 4. **Increase access to high quality health care informed by population health planning** To guide primary care delivery that meets local needs.
- 5. **Achieve equity in health outcomes** To benefit all individuals and regions of the Province in an equitable manner.
- 6. **Maintain local flexibility** To ensure a One Size Doesn't Fit All model of standardization acknowledges the diversity of Ontario including areas of the Province (north-south, east-west, and rural-urban), and the diverse health need in different regions.
- 7. **Good public and fiscal policy** To ensure change is driven by a clear public policy purpose and backed by evidence that any new arrangements will better suit that purpose. Change must be cost neutral for municipal governments.

- 8. **Facilitate greater partnerships and collaboration** To maintain and strengthen linkages with the broader health care system but also with municipal and community services.
- 9. **Achieve good governance relationships** To ensure that proper oversight models are in place that are appropriate for a public health organization, and for services, which are municipally funded.
- 10. Support funding relationships To promote long-term sustainability with adequate resourcing and an appropriate direct relationship between Public Health and the Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care, rather than a new funding and oversight relationship with Local Health Integration Networks (LHINs).
- 11. **Accountable** To establish clear accountability to both the public at the local level and to the Province.
- 12. **Transparent** To build public confidence that models and structures achieve good outcomes at a reasonable cost.

BACKGROUND:

Public Health

Public health services, including both disease prevention and health promotion, are an essential part of Ontario's health services continuum. Municipal governments play a major role, often as the employer, and have significant responsibilities in delivering public health services. Ontarians are served by 36 local boards of health that are responsible for populations within their geographic borders. Most boards are autonomous entities while some have the local municipal council serving as the board of health. Among other requirements mandated by the Province, local boards of health are responsible for implementing the provincially mandated 2008 Ontario Public Health Standards.

Currently, public health services are cost shared as a 75% provincial and 25% municipal responsibility. In 1998, under the *Services Improvement Act*, municipalities became responsible for 100% funding of all public health units and services. This was quickly amended in 1999, when the 50/50 cost sharing arrangement between the municipal and the provincial governments was reintroduced. It stayed at this level throughout the 2000 Walkerton tragedy and the 2003 SARS outbreak.

In 2004, the provincial government launched Operational Health Protection to address long-standing public health system capacity issues that included phased-in increases to the provincial share of public health funding to 75% by 2007. Under the *Health Protection and Promotion Act*, 1990, the Province may provide grants to municipalities to assist with public health costs whereas municipal governments are legislatively responsible for public health funding. In 2006, the Capacity Review Committee's (CRC) report was released. CRC's recommendations on changes to governance and amalgamations of specific health units were not implemented by the Province.

In 2015, the last year data is available, municipal governments funded 38%, on average, of the public health costs for mandatory programs/Ontario Public Health Standards (source: 2015 FIR of conditional grants). So, municipal governments are paying above the required cost sharing amounts.

Expert Panel on Public Health

To review and envision a new role for Public Health with the context of the *Patients First Act* and the revised standards, the government convened an Expert Advisory Panel. Gary McNamara, Mayor of Tecumseh, was appointed to the panel by the Minister, as an individual, not as a municipal representative selected by AMO.

The work of the Expert Panel is important, as it has come up with <u>recommendations</u> to the government intended to redefine the role of Public Health for years to come. The Minister gave the panel a mandate to look at how public health could operate within an integrated health system. The panel tabled the report to the Minister in June 2017.

The key recommendation proposes an end state for Public Health within an Integrated Health System that would have Ontario establish 14 regional public health entities—that are consistent with the LHIN boundaries.

Other Expert Panel Report recommendations include:

Proposed Leadership Structure consisting of:

- Regional public health entity with a CEO that reports to the Board and a Regional Medical Officer
 of Health (MOH) who reports to the Board on matters of public health and safety.
- Under each regional entity would be a Local Public Health Service Delivery Area with a Local Medical Officer of Health (reporting to the Regional MOH), local public health programs and services.

Proposed Board of Health Governance would be freestanding autonomous boards:

- Appointees would be municipal members (with formula defined by regulation), provincial
 appointees, citizen members (municipal appointees), and other representatives (e.g. education,
 LHIN, social sector, etc.).
- varied member numbers of 12 15
- diversity and inclusion board should reflect the communities they serve
- qualifications skills-based and experience
- Board to have the right mix of skills, competencies, and diverse populations.
- "Municipalities should also be encouraged to appoint a mix of elected officials and members of the community to ensure diversity and continuity and to reduce challenges elected officials may experience balancing their municipal responsibilities with their responsibilities for public health."

The Expert Panel was not asked to make specific recommendations on implementation; however, they did identify elements that should be considered in developing an implementation plan. These elements include:

Legislation

Funding – It was noted that "as part of implementation planning the Ministry will need to revisit funding constructs in order to implement the recommendations".

<u>Transition Planning/Change Management</u> – with wording that says:

- "The transition from the current 36 local boards of health to a smaller number of regional boards of health will have particular implications for municipalities and municipal members. It is important that the new board structure recognizes and protects municipal interests, while recognizing the potential for competition for municipal seats."
- "To ensure greater consistency across the province, it may be helpful to work with the Association of Municipalities of Ontario to develop the criteria for municipal representation on the new regional boards."
- Effective linkages with LHINs and the Health System.

Appendix A



Office of the President

Sent via e-mail: Eric.Hoskins@Ontario.ca

October 12, 2017

The Honourable Dr. Eric Hoskins Minister of Health and Long-Term Care Hepburn Block, 10th Floor 80 Grosvenor Street Toronto, Ontario M7A 2C4

Dear Minister Hoskins:

After careful consideration by our Board of Directors and our Health Task Force, AMO does not support the recommendations of the Expert Panel on Public Health and urges you and the provincial government not to adopt them.

If the Expert Panel recommendations are implemented, it will completely change and dilute over time the mandate of the local public health system by integrating it with the health care system. There was no analysis provided by either the Expert Panel or the Ministry on the implications of this proposed integration from either a patient, program/service, or cost benefit analysis perspective. There was no clear demonstration of any benefits of such a change in the public health system.

Our many concerns on the Expert Panel recommendations include:

- Public health will lose its local and community focus. It is currently integrated within its communities with multiple local linkages with both public and private bodies and organizations.
- A large number of the current public health units are fully integrated within a municipal system
 that enables coordinated planning, policy and program work with and between municipal
 services such as land use planning, transit, parks, housing and social services. The health unit
 staff are also municipal employees.
- For the autonomous public health units, there are also strong and vibrant local linkages with their municipal governments and services that would be severed or at least damaged by moving to a regional public health structure.
- The proposed governance model will reduce the local leadership voice in decision-making.
- Ensuring critical mass for emergencies does not need to be addressed only structurally.
- Serving the populations in rural and northern Ontario is already challenging. Experience has shown that making an entity regional does not generally help such situations.
- Amalgamations are not for the faint of heart and they do not generally produce the expected outcomes or efficiencies.

Municipal governments are your funding partners in public health – not merely stakeholders. In 2015, the last year data is available, municipal governments funded 38%, on average, of the public health costs for mandatory programs. To act upon the Expert Panel's recommendations, would create significant fiscal churn and likely municipal reduction in our cost-sharing world.

Given the grave concerns of what would be lost by implementation of these recommendations without any evidence of benefit lead us to our decision not to support them. The significant municipal interest and stake in this matter cannot be understated. We are asking for your commitment not to adopt all or any of these recommendations.

We would appreciate an opportunity to discuss this with you soon.

Sincerely,

Lynn Dollin AMO President

cc: The Honourable Kathleen Wynne, Premier
The Honourable Bill Mauro, Minister of Municipal Affairs
Dr. Robert Bell, Deputy Minister, Health and Long-Term Care
Sharon Lee Smith, Associate Deputy Minister, Health and Long-Term Care
Roselle Martino, Assistant Deputy Minister, Health and Long-Term Care