#### 2018 PBMA Criteria

Criteria	2018 Weight	Change	2017 Weight
Legislative Requirement	14	-	14
Other Requirement – Alignment	6	-	6
Health Need – Burden of Illness	7	-	7
Health Need – SDOH	8	-	8
Impact – Burden of Illness	14	-	14
Impact – SDOH	14	-	14
Impact – Customer Service	11	-	11
Community Capacity	4	-	4
Collaboration / Partnership	7	-	7
Organizational Risks / Benefits – reputation/litigation	7	-	7
Organizational Risks / Benefits – implementation	3	-	3
Organizational Risks / Benefits – culture	5	-	5
Total	100		100

# **Legislative Requirement**

Criteria	Weight	Ratings
Assess the impact of the	14	DISINVESTMENT - Major negative impact on ability to meet the legislative requirements (-3.00)
proposed change on the ability of		DISINVESTMENT - Moderate negative impact on ability to meet the legislative requirements (-2.00)
the program to meet the		DISINVESTMENT - Minor negative impact on ability to meet the legislative requirements (-1.00)
legislative requirements for this		BOTH - No impact on ability to meet the legislative requirements (0.00)
program / activity (if any)		INVESTMENT - Minor positive impact on ability to meet the legislative requirements (1.00)
		INVESTMENT - Moderate positive impact on ability to meet the legislative requirements (2.00)
		INVESTMENT - Major positive impact on ability to meet the legislative requirements (3.00)

- In the rationale section, indicate whether this program / activity is specifically mandated under: (a) the Health Protection and Promotion Act via the OPHS, (b) other legislation, or (c) not mandated under legislation. Provide a hyper-link(s) (website address) where possible.
- If mandated under the OPHS, indicate which standard/protocol mandates the requirement/activity and quote the specific requirement for this program / activity.
- Indicate if there is an accountability agreement indicator associated with this program and if so, what the indicator is.
- If mandated by other legislation, provide a hyper-link to the requirements under the legislation.

# **Other Requirement**

Criteria	Weight	Ratings
Assess the alignment of the	6	DISINVESTMENT - Considerable alignment with MLHU's Strategic Plan or other documents (-3.00)
proposed change with		DISINVESTMENT - Some alignment with MLHU's Strategic Plan or other documents (-2.00)
MLHU's Strategic Plan or		DISINVESTMENT - Little alignment with MLHU's Strategic Plan or other documents (-1.00)
other guidance documents		BOTH - No alignment with MLHU's Strategic Plan or other documents (0.00)
		INVESTMENT - Little alignment with MLHU's Strategic Plan or other documents (1.00)
		INVESTMENT - Some alignment with MLHU's Strategic Plan or other documents (2.00)
		INVESTMENT - Considerable alignment with MLHU's Strategic Plan or other documents (3.00)
Consider how this proposed change aligns with the Health Unit's strategic plan and other strategic documents such as the Ontario Public Health		

 Consider how this proposed change aligns with the Health Unit's strategic plan and other strategic documents such as the Ontario Public Health Sector Strategic Plan, Chief Medical Officer of Health reports, etc.

#### **Health Need**

Criteria	Weight	Ratings
Assess the need for this	7	DISINVESTMENT - Major health need (high prevalence & high severity) (-3.00)
program / activity in terms of		DISINVESTMENT - Moderate health need (either high prevalence or high severity) (-2.00)
the burden of illness it is		DISINVESTMENT - Minor health need (low prevalence & low severity) (-1.00)
intended to prevent and/or		BOTH - No health need (0.00)
the risk factor it is intended		INVESTMENT - Minor health need (low prevalence & low severity) (1.00)
to reduce		INVESTMENT - Moderate health need (either high prevalence or high severity) (2.00)
		INVESTMENT - Major health need (high prevalence & high severity) (3.00)

• Using local statistics if possible, consider one or more of the following related to the burden of illness or risk factor being addressed by the program / activity: (a) potential years of life lost, (b) mortality rate, (c) hospitalization rate, (d) rate of illness or rate of risk factor in our community compared to other communities or the province as a whole

#### **Health Need**

Criteria	Weight	Ratings
Assess the need for this	8	DISINVESTMENT - Major SDOH or health inequity addressed by this program/activity (-3.00)
program/activity in terms of		DISINVESTMENT - Moderate SDOH or health inequity addressed by this program/activity (-2.00)
the social determinant of		DISINVESTMENT - Minor SDOH or health inequity addressed by this program/activity (-1.00)
health (SDOH) it is intended		BOTH - No SDOH or health inequity addressed by this program/activity (0.00)
to address and/or health		INVESTMENT - Minor SDOH or health inequity addressed by this program/activity (1.00)
inequities		INVESTMENT - Moderate SDOH or health inequity addressed by this program/activity (2.00)
		INVESTMENT - Major SDOH or health inequity addressed by this program/activity (3.00)

• Using local statistics if possible, consider how the issue being address by this program / activity affects the social determinants of health (SDOH) and/or health inequities

### **Impact**

Criteria	Weight	Ratings
Assess the expected impact	14	DISINVESTMENT - Major increase in illness/risk factors (-3.00)
of the proposed change to	1	DISINVESTMENT - Moderate increase in illness/risk factors (-2.00)
the program/activity on the	1	DISINVESTMENT - Minor increase in illness/risk factors (-1.00)
burden of illness it is	1	BOTH - No reduction/prevention of illness/risk factors (0.00)
intended to prevent and/or	1	INVESTMENT - Minor reduction/prevention of illness/risk factors (1.00)
the risk factor it is intended	1	INVESTMENT - Moderate reduction/prevention of illness/risk factors (2.00)
to reduce		INVESTMENT - Major reduction/prevention of illness/risk factors (3.00)

- Consider how the proposed change is expected to impact on the health needs (outlined above) or other indicators, such as quality adjusted life
  years, when compared to current service. If these are unavailable, impact on shorter term outcomes of the program / activity can be considered
  (e.g., impact on knowledge, skills, attitudes etc.)
- Sources of the information above can be published literature, evaluation reports, health status reports, surveillance data etc.

## **Impact**

Criteria	Weight	Ratings
Assess the expected impact	14	DISINVESTMENT - Major increase in health inequities / negative effect on a SDOH (-3.00)
of the proposed change to		DISINVESTMENT - Moderate increase in health inequities / negative effect on a SDOH (-2.00)
the program / activity on the		DISINVESTMENT - Minor increase in health inequities / negative effect on a SDOH (-1.00)
SDOH and/or health		BOTH - No impact on health inequities / effect on a SDOH (0.00)
inequities		INVESTMENT - Minor reduction of health inequities / positive effect on a SDOH (1.00)
·		INVESTMENT - Moderate reduction of health inequities / positive effect on a SDOH (2.00)
		INVESTMENT - Major reduction of health inequities / positive effect on a SDOH (3.00)
I Ising local statistics if possible consider how the issue being address by this program / activity affects the social determinants of health and/or		

 Using local statistics if possible, consider how the issue being address by this program / activity affects the social determinants of health and/or health inequities

## **Impact**

Criteria	Weight	Ratings
Assess the expected impact	11	DISINVESTMENT - Major decline in client experience (-3.00)
of the proposed change to	1	DISINVESTMENT - Moderate decline in client experience (-2.00)
the program / activity on	1	DISINVESTMENT - Minor decline in client experience (-1.00)
client experience	1	BOTH - No impact on on client experience (0.00)
	1	INVESTMENT - Minor improvement to client experience (1.00)
	1	INVESTMENT - Moderate improvement to client experience (2.00)
		INVESTMENT - Major improvement to client experience (3.00)

• Consider how the change will impact the client experience which includes: (a) the extent to which the service respects client and family needs and values, (b) client safety, (c) cultural appropriateness, and (d) how the client will perceive the experience with regard to communication, staff professionalism, and being client focused.

**Community Capacity** 

Criteria	Weight	Ratings
Is there duplication of a	4	DISINVESTMENT - No capacity in the community (-3.00)
program / activity in the	I	DISINVESTMENT - Limited capacity in the community (-2.00)
community? Assess if	 	DISINVESTMENT - Some capacity in the community (-1.00)
others in the community are	I	BOTH - Considerable capacity in the community (0.00)
doing some or all of this	 	INVESTMENT - Some capacity in the community (1.00)
program / activity or if it is	I	INVESTMENT - Limited capacity in the community (2.00)
unique to the Health Unit.	ļ 1	INVESTMENT - No capacity in the community (3.00)

- Is there duplication of a program / activity in the community?
- Consider if there are others in the community who are doing all or part of this program / activity. Specifically, are others likely to fill in the gap in cases of disinvestment.
- If proposing possible discontinuation of the program / activity, if appropriate, use the rationale section to indicate those in the community who could take on this role.

#### **Collaboration / Partnership**

Criteria	Weight	Ratings
How does the proposed	7	DISINVESTMENT - Major negative impact on collaboration/partnerships (-3.00)
change affect		DISINVESTMENT - Moderate negative impact on collaboration/partnerships (-2.00)
collaboration/partnerships		DISINVESTMENT - Minor negative impact on collaboration/partnerships (-1.00)
that contribute to meeting		BOTH - No impact on collaboration/partnerships (0.00)
the Health Unit's goals		INVESTMENT - Minor improvement to collaboration/partnerships (1.00)
outside of impact?		INVESTMENT - Moderate improvement to collaboration/partnerships (2.00)
·		INVESTMENT - Major improvement to collaboration/partnerships (3.00)

• Consider the community partners involved in this program / activity and how being involved in this collaboration / partnership supports the Health Unit in achieving its goal and building goodwill in the community, as well as how the proposed change will affect this collaboration/partnership.

## **Organizational Risks / Benefits**

Criteria	Weight	Ratings
Assess the risks/benefits to	7	DISINVESTMENT - Major risk to reputation / of litigation (-3.00)
the Health Unit of		DISINVESTMENT - Moderate risk to reputation / of litigation (-2.00)
implementing the proposed		DISINVESTMENT - Minor risk to reputation / of litigation (-1.00)
change. Specifically		BOTH - No risk/benefit to reputation / of litigation (0.00)
consider organizational		INVESTMENT - Minor benefit to reputation / decreased risk of litigation (1.00)
reputation and risk of		INVESTMENT - Moderate benefit to reputation / decreased risk of litigation (2.00)
litigation that exists		INVESTMENT - Major benefit to reputation / decreased risk of litigation (3.00)
separately from our		
legislative mandates.		

• Consider how this change will impact the reputation of the Health Unit and/or if this change puts the Health Unit at risk for litigation.

# **Organizational Risks / Benefits**

<u> </u>	- · g			
Criteria	Weight	Ratings		
ORGANIZATIONAL RISKS /	3	DISINVESTMENT - Major implementation challenges (-3.00)		
BENEFITS: Assess the		DISINVESTMENT - Moderate implementation challenges (-2.00)		
risks/benefits to the Health Unit of		DISINVESTMENT - Minimal implementation challenges (-1.00)		
implementing the proposed		DISINVESTMENT - No implementation challenges / INVESTMENT - Major implementation challenges		
change. Specifically consider		(0.00)		
implementation challenges (incl.		INVESTMENT - Minimal implementation challenges (1.00)		
ease of sustainment and impact		INVESTMENT - Moderate implementation challenges (2.00)		
on other frontline/support services)		INVESTMENT - No implementation challenges (3.00)		

• Consider the following as possible implementation challenges in addressing this criteria: (a) how easy or difficult it will be to implement this change in the short-term? (b) how easy or difficult will the change be to sustain over the long-term? (c) how much impact will the change have on front line staff and/or support services?

### **Organizational Risks / Benefits**

Criteria	Weight	Ratings
ORGANIZATIONAL RISKS /	5	DISINVESTMENT - Major risk to workplace culture (-3.00)
BENEFITS: Assess the		DISINVESTMENT - Moderate risk to workplace culture (-2.00)
risks/benefits to the Health Unit of		DISINVESTMENT - Minor risk to workplace culture (-1.00)
implementing the proposed		BOTH - No risk/benefit to workplace culture (0.00)
change. Specifically consider the		INVESTMENT - Minor benefit to workplace culture (1.00)
impact on workplace culture and		INVESTMENT - Moderate benefit to workplace culture (2.00)
our values (e.g., morale, the ability		INVESTMENT - Major benefit to workplace culture (3.00)
to be innovative, internal		
collaboration)		

• Consider the impact of the change on factors such on our values, workplace morale, personal and professional growth opportunities, teamwork, the Health Unit's ability to be innovative, etc.